

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO	. F	ILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/800,386	10/800,386 03/12/2004		Takahiro Saito	EHAR0004-D2	8854	
23900	7590	09/30/2005		EXAMINER		
J C PATE			CAPUTO, LISA M			
4 VENTURE, SUITE 250 IRVINE, CA 92618				ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				2876		
				DATE MAILED: 09/30/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

		_~/\
Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/800,386	SAITO, TAKAHIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
Lisa M. Caputo	2876	

•		7	
	Lisa M. Caputo	2876	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 12 September 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THI 1. ☑ The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or	the same day as filing a Notice of	Appeal. To avoid aba	
this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notal Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	tice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in	compliance with 37 C	FR 41.31; or (3)
a) \square The period for reply expires 3 months from the mailing date			
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	ater than SIX MONTHS from the mailing (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE	g date of the final rejecti	on.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date		36(a) and the appropria	te extension fee
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	ns of the date of e appeal. Since
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection,	but prior to the date of filing a brief.	will not be entered b	ecause
(a) They raise new issues that would require further co			
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below			
(c) ☐ They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or			the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		mpliant Amandment	(DTOL 324)
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		impliant Amendment	(F10L-324).
Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a non-allowable claim(s).		timely filed amendme	ent canceling the
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected:			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a N d sufficient reasons why the affida	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> vit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	on of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attact	ned.
11. \(\subseteq \text{ The request for reconsideration has been considered by } \(\subseteq \text{ Continuation Sheet.} \)	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	Vo(s).	
		1/2	
		MICHAEL G. LEE	
	SUPE	PRASORY PATENT BECHNOLOGY CENTER	AMINER 2800

Application No. 10/800,386

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments are not persuasive since the affidavit, as supplemented in the reply filed 12 September 2005, is not persuasive to disqualify the Ackley reference as prior art against the applicant. The affidavit provided has sufficient evidence to show conception as of the applicant's foreign filing date of November 28, 1997. However, in order to be acceptable to disqualify Ackley as a 102(e) reference, diligence must be shown from the date of conception to the filing date of the Ackley reference. Examiner respectfully submits that, absent a showing of diligence, the evidence is insufficient to disqualify Ackley as a 102(e) reference. Furthermore, examiner respectfully submits that the Exhibit A (disclosure that was filed 28 November 1997 and published 18 June 1999) as provided by the applicant would now qualify as evidence of loss of right to a patent under 35 U.S.C. 102(d) and such a rejection will be made on appeal.